



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAR 14 2006

*TAN NK*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,292	07/17/2003	Min-Chul San	8021-160 (SS-18118-US)	2476
22150	7590	03/06/2006	EXAMINER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			PHAM, THANH V	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/621,292	SAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Thanh V. Pham	Art Unit 2823	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2006.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,12,13,16-19,22,23 and 26 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-2, 5-8, 12-13, 16-19, 22-23 and 26 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Response to Amendment***

***Claim Objections***

1. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: the dependency on itself is not correct. Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
3. Claims 1, 5-6 and 12, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doan et al. US 5,196,360 in combination with Takeuchi US 5,766,997.

The Doan et al. reference discloses a method for fabricating a semiconductor device, figs 1-4, comprising:

forming a field region on a substrate 12 to define an active region; forming a gate pattern 22/14 on the active region, wherein the gate pattern includes sidewalls; forming spacers 24 on the sidewalls of the gate pattern; forming source/drain regions 16/18 aligned with the spacers on both sides of the gate pattern;

forming a metal film (claim 1) of *titanium* layer 28 for silicide on the entire surface of the substrate;

forming a N-rich titanium nitride layer 30 on the *titanium* layer, col. 4, lines 42-54;

Art Unit: 2823

thermally treating the *titanium* layer 28 for silicide and the N-rich titanium layer 30 to form a *titanium* silicide layer on the gate pattern and the source/ drain region, col. 4, line 55 to col. 5, line 8;

and selectively removing the *titanium* layer for silicide and the N-rich titanium nitride layer, wherein a top portion of the *titanium* silicide on the gate pattern and the source/drain region is exposed, col. 5, lines 17-21.

*Re claims 1, 12-13, the Doan et al. reference does not use Ni-based metal layer comprised of a nickel alloy for silicide on the silicon substrate but uses a metal film (claim 1). Re claim 12, the Doan et al. reference also does not disclose* cleaning the substrate using a wet cleaning process.

The Takeuchi reference discloses a method for fabricating a semiconductor device, embodiment 4, comprising:

forming a field region on a substrate 121 to define an active region, fig. 12A; forming a gate pattern 125 on the active region, wherein the gate pattern includes sidewalls, fig. 12B; forming spacers 130/131 on the sidewalls of the gate pattern, fig. 12D; forming source/drain regions 127/128, 132/133 aligned with the spacers on both sides of the gate pattern; “the source region is damaged by ion implantation. Before the silicide layer is formed, therefore, dilute HF cleaning is generally performed to exposed the surface of the silicon substrate”, col. 9, lines 35-37;

forming nickel or *titanium* or *cobalt* interchangeably, col. 1's lines 29-30, for a metal layer 136 for silicide on the entire surface of the substrate, or a nickel alloy

a first metal is formed on the entire surface of the silicon substrate including the source region, the drain region and the gate electrode. The metal of which the

first metal layer is formed is a metal which can form silicide when reacted with silicon (this metal will be hereinafter called "silicide forming metal"). This silicide forming metal is, for example, refractory metal, more specifically, at least one kind of metal selected from a group of tungsten (W), cobalt (Co), titanium (Ti) and nickel (Ni). The first metal can be formed by a known thin film forming technology, such as sputtering or CVD, col. 7's lines 30-37.

forming a titanium nitride layer 137 on the Ni-based metal layer 136;

Then, a reaction suppressing layer is formed on the first metal layer including at least above the drain region and excluding above the source region. ...

The reaction suppressing layer is formed of a material which causes no silicidation with silicon, or low-resistance material which may cause a silicidation but has a lower reactivity than the mentioned metal. One example of the material for the reaction suppressing layer is a metal nitride. This metal nitride may be a nitride of the aforementioned silicide forming metal. More specifically, the metal nitride is at least one kind selected from a group of titanium nitride, cobalt nitride, nickel nitride and tungsten nitride. When the reaction suppressing layer is formed of a metal nitride, this metal nitride should not necessarily be a nitride of the same metal as is used for the first metal layer. When a metal nitride is the material for the reaction suppressing layer, this layer may be formed by CVD, sputtering and the other applicable to this process, col. 7, lines 42-62.

thermally treating the Ni-based metal layer comprised of nickel alloy for silicide and the titanium nitride layer to form a nickel silicide layer on the gate pattern and the source/drain region, col. 17, lines 24-30; and

selectively removing the Ni-based metal layer for silicide and the titanium nitride layer, wherein a top portion of the nickel silicide on the gate pattern and the source/drain region is exposed, col. 17, lines 39-41.

*Re claims 1 and 12, the Takeuchi reference does not use N-rich titanium nitride but uses titanium nitride and makes the titanium nitride layer enriched with nitrogen while annealing "under the nitrogen or ammonia environment", col. 8, lines 12-13.*

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the metal layer for silicide of the Doan et al. reference with material

Art Unit: 2823

of nickel or nickel alloy as taught by Takeuchi because the nickel layer for silicide of Takeuchi would provide the metal layer for silicide of Doan et al. the same characteristic as analyzed by Takeuchi to enhance the reduction in sheet resistance (Takeuchi's col. 1, lines 24 and 65).

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method of Takeuchi with N-rich titanium nitride of Doan because the N-rich titanium nitride of Doan would provide the titanium nitride of Takeuchi with inhibition ability of "outgrowth of silicide and potential short circuit paths between adjacent silicide contact areas" (Doan's abstract).

Choice of ratio of elements in the Ni-based metal layer would have been a matter of routine optimization because elements ratio is known to affect device properties and would depend on the desired device density on the finished wafer and the desired device characteristics. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited zero to 20 % of the chosen elements in the alloy through routine experimentation to achieve desired characteristics.

Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the process of Doan et al. with the step of cleaning the substrate using a wet cleaning process as taught by Takeuchi as the cleaning step would be selected in order to expose the surface of the silicon substrate.

Use of Ni-based metal *comprised of nickel alloy and N-rich titanium nitride* in the combination would provide "the nickel silicide on the gate pattern neither shorted nor cut, a pit is prevented from being formed in a boundary area between the active region

and the field region, and lumping of the nickel silicide is prevented and a silicide residue is prevented from remaining on the spacers and the field region" as claimed and well-suited with Doan et al.'s col. 6, line 62 to col. 7, line 6 "for inhibiting outgrowth of adjacent silicide contact areas which have the potential for forming short circuit paths between the silicide contact area" and preventing "pitting of the silicon substrate", Doan et al.'s col. 4, lines 64-68.

*Re claims 5 and 16, the Doan et al. reference discloses* the chemical formula  $TiN_x$  where  $x > 1$  or from about 1 to 2 or 1.1 to 1.3 (col. 2, line 8, col. 3, lines 24-31, col. 6, line 8).

*Re claims 6 and 17, the Takeuchi reference discloses* the thermal treatment for forming nickel silicide layer is carried out using a RTN, col. 8, line 11, not in vacuum but obviously must be in a thermal system.

4. Claims 2, 7-8 and 13, 18-19, 22-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Doan et al. with Takeuchi as applied to claims 1, 5-6 and 12, 16-17 above, and further in view of Catabay et al. US 6,503,840 B2, Jaiswal et al. US 6,664,166 B1 and Hill et al. US 6,775,046 B2.

The combination of Doan et al. and Takeuchi teaches substantially all of the instant steps of the method for fabricating a semiconductor device. Although Doan et al. teaches the transistor structure is formed using conventional technique, *meta/* layer 28 for silicide and nitrogen-rich titanium nitride layer 30 are formed by sputtering (col. 4, lines 2-4 and 35-54), and Takeuchi teaches cleaning the surface of the substrate and forming the Ni-based metal layer comprised of nickel alloy and titanium nitride layer by

Art Unit: 2823

sputtering; none of the reference teaches at what temperature the Ni-based metal layer is formed and using RF sputtering etching to remove particles from the surface of the substrate in situ with the formation of Ni-based layer and TiN layer.

*Re claims 2, 13, 19, 22-23 and 26, the Hill et al. reference teaches*

As known, the temperature at which the target is maintained influences the composition of the alloy that is deposited on the substrate during sputtering. As example, if the block of metal in dish 27 is a titanium nickel alloy of 50% titanium and 50% nickel, and that target is at room temperature during the sputtering process, the alloy deposited on the substrate will be different in composition, namely, 48% titanium and 52% nickel. If the target is at 100 degrees C. during the sputtering process, then the composition of the deposited alloy will be 49% titanium and 51% nickel. And if the target is maintained at a temperature of 200 degrees C. during the sputtering process, the deposited alloy will be 50% titanium and 50% nickel, col. 9, lines 34-45.

Choice of temperature in the formation of elements would have been a matter of routine optimization because temperature is known to affect device properties and would depend on the desired device density on the finished wafer and the desired device characteristics as taught by Hill et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited temperature through routine experimentation to achieve desired deposition and reaction rates. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the process of the combination with the Ni-based metal comprised of nickel alloy sputtering with selected temperature of about 25 to 500 °C in a thermal treatment system because the sputtering of Ni-based metal within the selected temperature range in the system would give the process of the combination with the desired metal as taught by Hill et al.

*Re claims 7-8, 18-19, 22-23 and 26, the Catabay et al. reference discloses the process wherein the contaminated surface is solvent cleaned to remove residues and*

Art Unit: 2823

then RF cleaned before titanium and then titanium nitride are deposited over the surface in the same chamber, abstract. And/or the Jaiswal et al. reference discloses "a method for processing a partially fabricated semiconductor wafer ... including performing a wet pre-metallization cleaning step on the surface of the wafer, performing an RF plasma sputter etching process ... while maintaining unbroken vacuum conditions ... and depositing a layer of metal on the surface of the wafer ... a stabilization bake cycle then is performed on the wafer", col. 2, lines 50-66.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the cleaning and depositing of the combination of Doan et al. and Takeuchi with the teachings of Catabay et al. and/or Jaiswal et al. because the steps of cleaning/etching and depositing of Catabay et al. and/or Jaiswal et al. would provide the process of Doan et al and Takeuchi with continuous process and preventing further contamination.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 02/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. With the newly added limitation of Ni-based metal layer *comprised of nickel alloy*, from the canceled dependent claims 4, 15, 21 and 25 into independent claims 1, 12, 19 and 23, respectively, applicant argues that none of the reference discloses that limitation. However, as pointed out in the above rejection, at least the Takeuchi reference discloses this.

Art Unit: 2823

7. The arguments of the field of metallurgy is not quite correct because the claimed limitation of amended claims 1, 12, 19 and 23 is "a nickel alloy including 0 to about 20 % of one of Ta, Zr, Ti, Hf, W, Co, Pt, Pd, V, Nb, or any combination thereof".
8. For the above reasons, the rejections are maintained.

### ***Conclusion***

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh V. Pham whose telephone number is 571-272-1866. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00).

Art Unit: 2823

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*wf*

03/02/2006

*G. Fourson*  
George Fourson  
Primary Examiner



CONVIVIONEK TOKTATENIS

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

*M*

A	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> INSUFFICIENT ADDRESS <input type="checkbox"/> ATTEMPTED NOT KNOWN
C	<input type="checkbox"/> NO SUCH NUMBER/ STREET <input type="checkbox"/> NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED
S	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/> UNABLE TO FORWARD

RTS  
RETURN TO SENDER

